

R. Michael Clurman Jr. of Manhattan; two daughters by a previous marriage, which ended in divorce: Susan Emma Clurman of Manhattan and Carol Duning of Alexandria, Va., and two grandchildren.●

SHERIFF HENRY HEALEY

● Mr. DODD. Mr. President, I wanted to take a few moments today to speak about the passing of a distinguished citizen of Connecticut and a great American—Henry Healey, Jr.

At the time of his death, Henry Healey was the high sheriff of New Haven County. But his legacy was far greater. He was a WWII veteran, a successful businessman, a dedicated member of the Democratic Party, and a close and dear friend.

I first got to know Henry Healey because of his relationship with my father, Senator Thomas Dodd. And later, when I decided to leave the House of Representatives and make my own run for the U.S. Senate it was from Henry Healey that I sought counsel.

His advice then, as it was every time I spoke to him, helped to guide me in my decision-making process. Because, Henry was a man of great wisdom and shrewd understanding of political history.

Like few men I've known, Henry was endowed with a vision that allowed him to presciently see beyond the political machinations of the day to the long-term political currents of the future.

It's one of the main reasons why Henry was probably one of the three or four most influential people in the past 30 years of Connecticut political history.

But of course there was more to Henry than just his political acumen. He was a man of great loyalty and understanding, who knew how to accomplish things without being flamboyant or self-serving.

He wasn't a great ideologue or a firebrand. He was more interested in people's human skills and their ability to work with others.

I think his chief deputy sheriff, Frank Kinney, Jr., said it best: "People with problems in their lives could always open up to him and he never failed to respond, to do what he could for them. That's what I learned from him, and that's what I admired most about him."

And in his official role as New Haven County sheriff, Henry Healey turned what had been a largely administrative position into a bully pulpit for drug education, crime prevention, and charity.

And he was recognized across the country for his innovations in law enforcement. He was one of the first officials in America to advocate neighborhood block watch programs. He was a strong voice in the fight against substance abuse in New Haven County schools. And he was recognized by his peers, when he was appointed president of the National Sheriffs Association, in the late 1980s.

But, Henry Healey was also a man of great personal charity. His New Haven scholarship fund helped give hundreds of Connecticut children the opportunity to seek higher education. And, he made it a regular practice of hiring ex-convicts for his car dealerships. In addition, he ended the practice of serving eviction notices at Christmas.

This charity was certainly smart politics for an elected official. It was no accident that if you had hopes of a career in politics in Connecticut, it was a good idea to stay on the right side of Henry.

But, it also reflected Henry's integrity as a public servant and as a man. He was a throwback to an earlier, simpler age in American politics and he will be dearly missed by the residents of New Haven County and the State of Connecticut.

My thoughts and prayers are with his wife Jean and his children Patrick, Henry Bryan, Michael, Constance, Christina, and Irene.●

ADJUSTING THE CONSUMER PRICE INDEX

● Mr. MOYNIHAN. Mr. President, a number of careful statements have been made on the floor yesterday and today concerning the use of the Consumer Price Index [CPI] as a proxy for measuring changes in the cost of living. As we all surely know, the Bureau of Labor Statistics [BLS] is insistent that the CPI is not a cost of living index: never has been; cannot be. It would be more than a third of a century ago that I became Assistant Secretary of Labor in the Kennedy administration. In that role, I had nominal supervision of the Bureau, and I attest that this was fully understood at that time, well before the CPI began to be used as it is today as an index for various entitlement programs and tax schedules.

The question has been properly raised as to whether economists are in general agreement that the CPI overstates inflation. My distinguished friend from North Dakota, Senator CONRAD, described the near unanimous testimony of a panel of economists that testified before the Senate Committee on Finance to this effect. I would draw the attention of the Senate to the fact that well before the Finance Committee established the Boskin commission to enquire into this matter, the subject was under consideration in the Office of Management and Budget. Specifically, a memorandum of October 3, 1994, sets forth the matter in specific terms.

I ask that portions of that memorandum be printed in the RECORD.

The material follows:

OCTOBER 3, 1994.

From: Alice M. Rivlin.
Subject: Big Choices.

When we met in August, we noted that it was time for a serious discussion of the budget and economic agenda for 1995 and 1996. Decisions must be made soon about the policies to be articulated in the FY 1996 budget, the

State of the Union, and our response to the Kerrey-Danforth Commission report. These policies and the message they contain are crucial to the record we will run on in 1996.

Illustrative entitlement options

Options	5-yr savings (\$ B)
COLA reduction:	
CPI minus 0.5 "technical" reform	
(CPI may be overstated by 0.4% to 1.5%)	33
Eliminate COLAs for one year	55
CPI minus 2 for five years	109●

THE VOID IN MORAL LEADERSHIP—PART IX

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, last Sunday marked the third anniversary of the firings of the Travelgate Seven from the White House travel office. That is 3 years of the Federal Government harassing these innocent public servants, and their families, and the harassment continues as I speak. This is a story of an abuse of power by the new occupants of the White House, back on May 19, 1993.

The Clinton White House used the full powers of the Federal Government to fire these seven loyal workers, destroy their reputations, deplete their bank accounts, steal their dignity, and cause great suffering for their families.

I wonder how many Americans have been the target of an abusive Washington bureaucracy—like the IRS.

Or how about when four Federal agencies fight over the right to tell a farmer how to use land that his family has been farming for three generations.

And how many small businesses have been harassed by OSHA or EPA?

Untold numbers of citizens across this land have been harassed and abused by the Federal Government. Hard-working families try to play by the rules. Next thing they know, they are unfair targets of zealous Washington bureaucrats who are out of control.

Mr. President, no hard-working, honest citizen should have to go through such an ordeal. It is unjust and unfair. Government is supposed to promote justice and fairness, but Washington turns these principles upside down.

There are many examples of bureaucracies harassing citizens; but there are few examples of Washington putting the full force of its powers against decent, hard-working families. The case of the Travelgate Seven is one such example. For them, the harassment was many times greater than what most citizens have endured. These seven provided a service for the President and the press corps in the interest of open government. Their bosses were seven previous Presidents and the American taxpayers. But cronies of President Clinton, infatuated with newly derived power, coveted the business for themselves.

The only barriers to themselves and a lucrative business were these seven loyal workers, so the cronies went on the attack. First, they spread false allegations against the seven workers, accusing them of mismanagement and embezzlement. This led to their firings by the President.